

**Experiment Info:**

Planted: 4/10  
 Variety: Barlow  
 Plot Size: 10' x 40'  
 Replications: 4  
 Harvested: 7/25

**Soil Test Values (ppm):**

pH: 5.4  
 CEC: 24.8  
 % OM: 3.7  
 Bray P1: 10  
 K: 225  
 S: 8  
 % K: 2.  
 % Mg: 16.5  
 % Ca: 46.9  
 % H: 34  
 % Na: 0.3

**Objective:**

Evaluate effects of different fertilizer programs on yield and % protein of spring wheat.

An experiment was established in Southeast South Dakota to compare different fertilizer programs for effect on spring wheat. A fertilizer program of 126-40-0 was utilized for a yield goal of 80 to 100 bu/a. There was adequate rainfall in April and May, a total of 5.06 inches. But just 1.79 inches fell during the critical months of June (0.96") and July (0.83"). A common method of fertilizer application is pre-broadcast followed by soil incorporation. This is for either liquid or dry formulations. Alternatively, wheat drills outfitted with liquid application is preferred, but not as common. Application of a foliar fertilizer along with a fungicide application at flag leaf or heading stage, depending on the fungicide, has shown promise under some conditions. But the anticipated yield and/or protein increase is not always obtained, and usually not under drought stress. A table of all of the fertilizer treatments and harvest evaluations is in the following table.

<b>Fertilizer Comparisons in Spring Wheat.</b>					
South Dakota Ag Research, Beresford, SD - 2012					
<u>Pre-plant incorporated</u>		<u>rate/A</u>	<u>lb-N/A</u>	<u>Bu/A</u>	<u>% protein</u>
1.	urea + 11-52-0	318 + 100 lb*	157	<b>43.5</b>	15.5
2.	High NRG-N	25 gal	72.5	<b>44.4</b>	15.5
3.	High NRG-N	30 gal	87	<b>47.7</b>	15.8
5.	28/eNhance	33 gal	99	<b>43.5</b>	15.1
6.	28/eNhance	42 gal	126	<b>45.9</b>	15.8
7.	28/eNhance	42 gal	126	<b>40**</b>	15.5
	NResponse (flag)	2 gal			
8.	28/eNhance + accesS	42 gal + 5 gal	126	<b>43.2</b>	15.4
9.	28% + 10-34-0 + Zn	38 gal + 10 gal	126	<b>46.5</b>	15.5
<u>Pre-plant incorporated/drill</u>					
11.	High NRG-N	19 gal	55.1	<b>48.9</b>	15.8
	High NRG-N + PG + Micro 500	6 gal	17.5		
12.	28/eNhance	27 gal	81	<b>48</b>	15.4
	28/eNhance + PG + Micro 500	6 gal	18		
<u>Pre-emergence</u>					
4.	High NRG-N	30 gal	87	<b>43.9</b>	15.6

4 gal/A Pro-Germinator + 1 qt/A Micro 500 applied with all Liquid treatments.  
 \* - Application error. Was supposed to be 254 + 80 lb/A for 126-40  
 \*\* - Suspected plant damage during application. LSD(0.2): 4.6. CV: 11.2%

**Conclusions:**

- The yield was much reduced due to the dry conditions. As such, the nitrogen applications were all too high for the yield outcome, and no major treatment or rate differences were obtained. It is not clear why treatment 5 is lower than others.
- It was observed that application of part of the nitrogen through the drill did result in a yield increase vs all of the nitrogen being broadcast. (Trt 11 and 12 vs trt 2 and 5).
- There also appeared to be higher yield with soil incorporation of the broadcast application vs application to the soil surface after planting. (Trt 3 vs 4). There was adequate rainfall for nitrogen movement, but perhaps not for the Pro-Germinator and Micro 500.